

Navigating the Presentation and Publication Process

Susan C. Faircloth, Ph.D. (Coharie) April 26, 2024



Presenting your Research/Work

https://hbr.org/2013/06/how-to-give-a-killer-presentation

- 1. Get to the point -be mindful of time
- 2. Engage and connect with the audience
- 3. Avoid overuse of direct quotes
- 4. Keep your slides simple and uncrowded
- 5. Avoid overuse of technical jargon
- 6. Practice your talk/presentation in advance
- 7. Remember that you're the expert on the topic you're presenting on...
- 8. Have fun









The Power of (Effective) Writing

"...it is extremely rare and unusual when [I]ndigenous accounts are accepted and acknowledged as valid interpretations of what has taken place. And yet, the need to tell our stories remains the powerful imperative of a powerful form of resistance."

-Linda Tuhiwai Smith

Questions to consider when writing

Why are you writing?

What are you writing about?

- Topic
- Methodology(ies)

What new or significant contribution(s) to the field might your research/scholarship/writing make?

Who is your intended audience(s)?

What journal(s) would make a good home for your research/scholarship/writing?

Preparing Your Manuscript for Submission

- Become familiar with the publication standards in your field(s) of study
- Follow the publication style manual/guidelines for your field(s)
- Manuscript should not have been previously published
 - If manuscript was previously published secure permission from journal to republish
- Typically manuscript submissions should contain no identifying information
- Typically submit manuscripts using MS Word not a pdf
- Obtain permission to include copyrighted material in your manuscript
- Proofread carefully for typographical, grammatical, and formatting errors

Peer Review

- Manuscript reviewed by experts in your field(s) of study.
 - Feedback can be helpful in revising/strengthening your manuscript
 - Double-Blind Review typically used in the social sciences
 - author(s) doesn't know the reviewer(s); reviewer(s) doesn't know the author(s)

• Source: http://authorservices.taylorandfrancis.com/publishing-your-research/peer-review/

Typical Steps in the Peer Review Process

Step 1: Manuscript Submitted

Step 2: Manuscript Reviewed by the Editor/ Editorial Team

- Is the manuscript a good fit for the journal?
- Has the author)(s) followed submission guidelines? (e.g., word count, page length, formatting)
- Does the manuscript include an abstract, author affiliation, tables/figures, references, sources of funding for research, etc.?

Step 3: Peer Review

- Typically at least 2 Reviewers
- Reviewers look for:
 - Originality
 - Research design and methods
 - Connection between research conducted and extant literature
 - Clarity in the presentation and discussion of findings
 - "quality of writing"
 - Conclusions
 - Implications for policy, practice, future research
 - "Fit" with the journal

Step 4: Editor/Editorial Team Reviews Feedback from Peer Reviewers

- Feedback/Decision sent to corresponding author
- Decision Options:
 - Accept as is manuscript ready for publication
 - with formatting and copy editing
 - Accept with minor revisions
 - Accept with major revisions
 - Revise and Resubmit
 - Reject

Responding to Reviewer Feedback

- Review feedback
 - Set the review aside for a few hours or days before reviewing again
 - Use feedback from peer reviewers to strengthen manuscript before resubmitting to the journal
 - Distinguish between criticism and critique
 - Decide which revisions/edits you will make and why
 - Ask editor for clarification of reviewer feedback if needed
- Begin Making Edits/Revisions
- Draft response to the editor and reviewers outlining the revisions you made and why

Resubmit Revised Manuscript

- Once revised and resubmitted, manuscripts are typically sent out for a second round of revisions
 - Revisions may be accepted as is
 - Condition Acceptance
 - Additional edits may be requested
 - Manuscript may be rejected

What to do if your manuscript is not accepted?

- Get a sense of why your manuscript was not accepted
 - manuscript not a good fit for the journal
 - author did not follow submission guidelines (e.g., page length)
 - concerns regarding the overall quality of writing
 - failure to demonstrate a significant contribution to the field
 - poorly developed theoretical/conceptual framework
 - Requested revisions not made by the author(s)
- Choose a new home for your manuscript and resubmit
 - Review submission guidelines
 - Reformat manuscript as needed

OR

Pull the manuscript and forego further review

If manuscript is accepted...

- Receive notification of acceptance from the editor/editorial team
 - Timeline varies
- Make additional edits as requested
- Review draft of copyedited manuscript
 - Submit revisions to the editor/editorial team
- Wait for manuscript to be published
 - Timeline varies
- Manuscript published
 - Receive copies of published manuscript
- Celebrate!
- Continue to write ...

Consider becoming a Peer Reviewer

• https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/how-to-review-articles

Resources

- Bird by Bird Anne Lamott
- Presenting and Publishing
 - https://undergraduateresearch.virginia.edu/present-and-publish/presentationtips
- The OpEd Project
 - https://www.theopedproject.org/
- "From Manuscript to Article":
 - https://citejournal.org/volume-4/issue-2-04/editorial/from-manuscript-to-article-publishing-educational-technology-research/

Resources (continued)

- Sample Submission Checklist:
 - https://journals.sagepub.com/authorinstructions/rer#SubmissionPreparationChecklist
- Journal of American Indian Education
 - https://jaie.asu.edu/
- Tribal College Journal
 - https://tribalcollegejournal.org/writers-guidelines/
- Other journals with an emphasis on Indigenous Peoples/Issues
 - https://guides.library.ualberta.ca/c.php?g=708820&p=5049656

Remember...

"Almost all good writing begins with terrible first [drafts].

You need to start somewhere".

- Anne Lamott